

Intrapsychic Activation Model (IPAM)

Sam Vaknin*

Former Visiting Professor of Psychology, Southern Federal University, Rostov-on-Don, Russia and Professor of Finance and Psychology in CIAPS (Centre for International Advanced and Professional Studies), Russia.

***Corresponding Author:** Sam Vaknin, Former Visiting Professor of Psychology, Southern Federal University, Rostov-on-Don, Russia and Professor of Finance and Psychology in CIAPS (Centre for International Advanced and Professional Studies), Russia.

Received date: March 15, 2023; **Accepted date:** March 27, 2023; **Published date:** April 06, 2023.

Citation: Sam Vaknin (2023) Intrapsychic Activation Model (IPAM), Journal of Psychiatry and Psychological Disorders, 2(2): DOI: 10.58489/2836-3558/010

Copyright: © 2023 Sam Vaknin. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of The Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract:

Our experience of reality is comprised of data from both the external and the internal environments.

These inputs are mediated, structured, and reframed via constructs which activate introjects to produce automatic thoughts. These thoughts affect behaviors intended to modify the environment to conform to a self-state, buttress, and validate it.

Constructs also select memories in order to prevent dissonance and anxiety between recall and self-state. They dissociate memories, alter their emotional content and correlates via attribution and reframing, and impose selectivity.

The construct organizes the output from the introjects according to an algorithm ("identity") which provides, for each specific environment, selection criteria of self-states and corresponding introjects.

Summary:

A scientifically rigorous psychology should start with the external environment: stimuli conveyed to the brain via *sensa*, the ecosystem of information, 95% of which remains unconscious.

The internal environment is comprised of reactions to the external environment and interactions between processes such as cognitions and emotions.

The idea of an immutable core identity is, therefore, counterfactual: no fixed entity can efficaciously cope with a shapeshifting and ever-transforming reality.

Instead of a unitary lifespan-long Self, in the footsteps of the likes of Philip Bromberg, I propose an ensemble of self-states, each one of which is optimized for a specific environment.

The self-states are automatically triggered. The one best adapted to the exigencies and demands of an idiosyncratic milieu becomes dominant while the others are rendered latent and dormant.

The self-states are not dissociated. They share resources and assets such as the individual's intelligence and memories.

Some autonomous or continuous background mental processes might conflict with the self-state. To avoid dissonance and the ensuing anxiety, they are silenced with the aid of constructs. Each construct is unique to a specified self-state.

The constructs are stable organizing and hermeneutic-exegetic (interpretative) principles. Constructs mediate, structure, and filter external reality (experience) by reframing it while also regulating the internal environment.

Constructs make sense and impose a meaningful narrative on raw *sensa* as well as on internal data. They are like theories: they yield predictions. But all the output is censored to conform to the self-state (cognitively and emotionally distorted).

The ego and the persona are instances of constructs.

Like defense mechanisms, constructs impair reality testing in order to buttress the self-state and maintain its coherence and cohesion. Like membranes, constructs selectively suppress any input that challenges the self-

state or undermines it.

Constructs, therefore, ensure ego congruency and ego syntony by generating a database of information that is both relevant to the self-state and supportive of it.

Constructs also tackle memories that vitiate or contradict the self-state and thus engender dissonance and anxiety.

The construct either silences such memories or reframes them into compatibility with the self-state.

It accomplishes this feat in one of three ways: 1. By dissociating the memories; 2. By altering the emotional content and correlates of the memory to conform to that of the self-state; and 3. By weighing memories differently and selectively (selective memory).

To accomplish the reconciliation of the self-state to both the external and the internal environments, the constructs call upon (interpellate) introjects (internalized voices of meaningful others, such as parents, teachers, peers, and society at large). The conscience is an example of a cluster of introjects that is often made use of by constructs.

In order to avoid dissonance and anxiety, we make peace with our introjects by misidentifying them as our own authentic voices (attribution error).

The introjects generate automatic thoughts, both positive and negative. They are always on standby. They interfere with daily functioning once they are triggered.

The constructs organize the introjects's output according to a set of selection criteria and principles ("identity").

"Identity" is an algorithm which maps self-states and their attendant constructs to specific environments. It determines which introjects are activated. It is a set of principles and operating routines which regulate the emergence and submergence of self-states.

Identity changes only slowly and so gives the illusory impression of stability and continuity.

The "personality" is comprised of the selection criteria (aforementioned algorithm) combined with the resultant self-states.

The individual is cathected (emotionally invested) in the

self-state. S/he wants to validate it and thus preserve the comfort zone.

One of the functions of the automatic thoughts is to drown out the processes which negate the self-state or conflict with it. The other function is to affect and modify behaviors.

Some behaviors are inhibited or negatively reinforced by the construct, using the automatic thoughts spewed out by the introjects. Other actions are positively reinforced. This way, the construct induces or fosters only behaviors whose consequences modify the environment to fit the self-state even as it suppresses all other forms of conduct.

The construct is goal-oriented. The choice of behaviors is secondary and rationalized. Self-efficacy is the overriding aim. The construct leverages external inputs to regulate the internal landscape.

The construct creates a paracosm, a virtual reality to fit and uphold the self-state. It is a harmonizing central authority.

This model sheds new light on basic concepts in psychology.

“Defense mechanisms” render palatable the outcomes of positively reinforced behaviors and prevent secondary anxiety, shame, and guilt.

“Mental illness” occurs when the self-states are mutually exclusive or oppositional or incompatible and the transition from one self-state to another is disrupted for a variety of reasons (mainly when the self-state is suboptimal).

The principle of non-contradiction in the repertory of self-states and the smooth transmission of power between self-states are the bedrocks of mental health. Conflicting self-states coupled with constructs compete for resources in a host of mental health disorders.

With every new environment, the algorithm selects an optimal self-state which takes over the individual. There is a momentary disorientation in the dissociative gaps between consecutive self-states.

In other words: the continuity of memory, identity, and personality is a myth or, at best, a convenient and idealized abstraction.

Self-states are anxiolytic and therapy is anxiogenic. But gradually, therapy helps the client evolve a new algorithm which selects for self-states which are less self-defeating or self-destructive and more functional.

References and Bibliography

1. Stormberg D, Roningstam E, Gunderson J, Tohen M (1998). Pathological Narcissism in Bipolar Disorder Patients. *Journal of Personality Disorders*; 12:179-185.
2. Roningstam E (1996). Pathological Narcissism and Narcissistic Personality Disorder in Axis I Disorders. *Harvard Review of Psychiatry*;3(6):326-340.
3. Alford, Fred C (1988). *Narcissism: Socrates, the Frankfurt School and Psychoanalytic Theory* - New Haven and London, Yale University Press.
4. Fairbairn W. R. D (1954). *An Object Relations Theory of the Personality*.
5. Freud S. Three Essays on the Theory of Sexuality. *Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works of Sigmund Freud*.1905;7.
6. Freud S. On Narcissism.14:73-107.
7. Golomb E (1995). *Trapped in the Mirror: Adult Children of Narcissists in Their Struggle for Self - Quill*.
8. Greenberg JR, Mitchell SA (1983). *Object Relations in Psychoanalytic Theory*.
9. Grunberger B (1979). *Narcissism: Psychoanalytic Essays*. New York, International Universities Press. .
10. Guntrip H (1961). *Personality Structure and Human Interaction*. New York, International Universities Press.
11. Horowitz MJ (1975). Sliding Meanings: A defense against threat in narcissistic personalities - *International Journal of Psychoanalytic Psychotherapy*; 4:167-180.
12. Jacobson E (1964). *The Self and the Object World*. New York, International Universities Press.

13. Kernberg O (1975). *Borderline Conditions and Pathological Narcissism*. New York, Jason Aronson.
14. Trust MK, *The Writings of Melanie Klein* - Ed. Roger Money-Kyrle - 4 vols. - New York, Free Press.1964-75.
15. Kohut H (1971). *The Analysis of the Self* - New York, International Universities Press,
16. Lasch C (1979). *The Culture of Narcissism*. New York, Warner Books.
17. Alexander L (1997), *Narcissism: Denial of the True Self* - Touchstone Books,
18. Millon T, Davis RD (1995). *Disorders of Personality: DSM IV and Beyond*, 2nd ed. John Wiley and Sons,
19. Millon T (2000). *Personality Disorders in Modern Life* - New York: John Wiley and Sons., Aug;188(8):558.
20. Ronningstam E (1998). *Disorders of Narcissism: Diagnostic, Clinical, and Empirical Implications*. American Psychiatric Press.
21. Ronningstam E (1996). *Pathological Narcissism and Narcissistic Personality Disorder in Axis I Disorders*. *Harvard Review of Psychiatry*;3(6): 326-340.
22. Rothstein A (1984). *The Narcissistic Pursuit of Reflection*. International Universities Press.
23. Lester S (1974). *Narcissistic Personality Disorders - A Clinical Discussion*. *Journal of Am. Psychoanalytic Association*;22(2):292-306.
24. Sigmund Freud (1914). *The Interpersonal World of the Infant. A View from Psychoanalysis and Developmental Psychology*.
25. David S, Ronningstam E, Gunderson J, Tohen M (1998). *Pathological Narcissism in Bipolar Disorder Patients*. *Journal of Personality Disorders*.;12(2):179-185.
26. Vaknin S *Malignant Self Love – Narcissism Revisited*, 10th revised impression – Skopje and Prague. Narcissus Publications.2015.
27. Zweig P. *The Heresy of Self-Love: A Study of Subversive Individualism*.1968.
28. Ogas, O., Gaddam S. *A Billion Wicked Thoughts* New York, Dutton 2011
29. Helm, K. *Hooking Up: The Psychology of Sex and Dating* Santa Barbara, Greenwood 2016

Online Bibliography

1. Alt, R. and Fleisch, E. (2001). *Business Networking Systems: Characteristics and Lessons Learned*. 5(2), pp.7-27.
2. Dubois, L. (2007). *How Leaders Create and Use Networks*. [online] *Harvard Business Review*.
3. Ibarra, H. and Hunter, L. (2007). *How to Network Effectively*. [online] Inc.com.
4. Trosson, D. and Kostopoulos, A. (2012). *Techno-Economic Aspects of Information-Centric Networking*. *Journal of Information Policy*, 2, pp.26-50.
5. Uzzi, B. and Dunlap, S. (2005). *How to Build Your Network*. [online] *Harvard Business Review*.
6. Perkin, Emily. *Networks: An Annotated Bibliography* [online] *Research and Policy in Development*.